

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Huntington Municipal Development Authority, West Virginia Huntington; Appropriations

Primary Credit Analyst:

Hilary A Sutton, New York (1) 212-438-7093; hilary.sutton@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Sarah L Smaardyk, Dallas (1) 214-871-1428; sarah.smaardyk@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

Summary:

Huntington Municipal Development Authority, West Virginia Huntington; Appropriations

Credit Profile

Huntington Mun Dev Auth, West Virginia

Huntington, West Virginia

Huntington Mun Dev Auth (Huntington) pub facs

Long Term Rating

A/Stable

Upgraded

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services raised by two notches, to 'A' from 'BBB+' its long-term rating on Huntington Municipal Development Authority, W.V.'s series 2010A refunding revenue bonds and series 2010B refunding and improvement revenue bonds, issued for the city of Huntington. The upgrade is based on Standard & Poor's local GO criteria released Sept. 12, 2013. The outlook is stable.

The revenue bonds represent an interest in lease payments made by Huntington, as lessee, to the Huntington Municipal Development Authority, as lessor.

The rating reflects our assessment of the following factors:

- Adequate economy, which benefits from participating in the broad and diverse economic base of the Huntington-Ashland metropolitan statistical area (MSA) and the stabilizing presence of Marshall University;
- Adequate budgetary flexibility;
- Strong liquidity providing strong cash levels to cover both debt service and expenditures.
- Strong budgetary performance;
- Weak debt and contingent liabilities position, with major budgetary pressures from pension costs; and
- Adequate management conditions with standard policies.

Adequate economy

We consider the city's economy to be adequate when combining projected per capita income at 70% of the national level and market value per capita at \$51,600. The city is part of the broad and diverse Huntington-Ashland MSA. Assessed value for fiscal 2015 increased slightly to \$1.52 billion. The city is home to Marshall University, which we view as a stabilizing institution.

Adequate budgetary flexibility

We believe the city's budgetary flexibility is adequate given its available reserves for fiscal 2013 totaled \$5.1 million, or 11.5% of expenditures adjusted for one-time expenditures and transfers for debt service. This represents a marked

improvement from fiscal 2011 when the balance was negative, though we believe the city still has a limited capacity to cut spending due to pension pressure. Management expects the fiscal 2014 general fund balance to decline \$800,000 due to one-time capital projects, and reports the 2015 budget will be structurally balanced.

Strong liquidity

The city has a strong liquidity position, with total government available cash at 12.1% of total governmental fund expenditures and 2,146% of governmental funds debt service. Additionally, we believe the city has strong access to external liquidity. We believe there is nonremote contingent liability risk given the existence of a line of credit with permissive events of default that, if triggered, could result in an immediate repayment. However, we note the amount currently drawn on the line represents only 1.4% of general fund revenue.

Strong budgetary performance

We view the city's budgetary performance as strong, with the general fund surplus at 1.9% of expenditures and total governmental fund surplus at 4.5% of expenditures. The largest revenue source in fiscal 2013 was business and occupation tax receipts (30%) followed by charges for services (25%). The second category consists of a municipal service fee as well as city service fee.

Weak debt and contingent liability profile

The city's debt and contingent liabilities position is weak in our opinion. Total governmental fund debt service is 0.6% of total governmental funds expenditures and net direct debt is 63.3% of total governmental funds revenue.

Amortization is rapid, with nearly 70% of principal due to be retired over the next 10 years. We do not anticipate any medium-term debt issuances.

The city participates in the West Virginia Public Employees' Retirement System, and all city employees hired after Jan. 1, 2010, are in this state-managed plan. In addition, Huntington maintains a Policemen's Pension and Relief Fund and a Firemen's Pension and Relief Fund. The city's fiscal 2013 contribution to the former was \$5.3 million (122% of the annual pension cost) and the unfunded pension benefit obligation was \$63.1 million (or a 23% funded ratio). The city's fiscal 2013 contribution to the latter was \$6.6 million (125% of the annual pension cost) and the unfunded pension benefit obligation was \$88.6 million (or a 11% funded ratio). The city shifted to a 40-year amortization schedule for its pension liabilities, from 30 years, in fiscal 2010 due to a change in the state law to provide budgetary relief. The city also has an unfunded actuarial accrued liability of about \$124 million for other postemployment benefits (OPEB). Combined, the city's pension and OPEB expenditures in fiscal 2013 represented what we consider a high 25% of total governmental expenditures.

Adequate management conditions

We view the city's management conditions as adequate with standard financial practices.

Strong Institutional Framework

We consider the Institutional Framework score for West Virginia cities strong.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view of the city's anticipated maintenance of strong reserves despite what we view as significant pressure stemming from pension and OPEB-related expenditures. Should performance weaken, resulting in diminished liquidity and flexibility, the rating could be lowered. Upward rating movement would likely follow an improved funded status of the city's pension funds. We do not expect to change the rating in the outlook's two-year horizon.

Related Criteria And Research

Related Criteria

- USPF Criteria: Local Government GO Ratings Methodology And Assumptions, Sept. 12, 2013
- USPF Criteria: Appropriation-Backed Obligations, June 13, 2007
- USPF Criteria: Contingent Liquidity Risks, March 5, 2012

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2014 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.